

State of Utah DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES Division of Oil, Gas & Mining

MICHAEL R. STYLER Executive Director JOHN R. BAZA
Division Director

Inspection Report Minerals Regulatory Program

Reviewed:

August 19, 2008

Mine Name: Kimball Draw Operator Name: U. S. Gypsum

Permit number: S0150075 **Inspection Date:** July 29, 2008

Time: 2:00-2:20 PM

Inspector(s): Paul Baker

Other Participants: Chris Conrad (Price BLM); Clay Shumway, Bruce Allen, and another person

from U. S. Gypsum

Mine Status: Inactive Weather: Mostly clear, 90s

| Elements of Inspection | Evaluated | Comment | Enforcement |
|--|-----------|---------|-------------|
| Permits, Revisions, Transfer, Bonds, Permit Fees Public Safety (shafts, adits, trash, signs, highwalls) Protection of Drainages / Erosion Control Deleterious Material Roads (maintenance, surfacing, dust control, safety) Concurrent Reclamation Backfilling/Grading (trenches, pits, roads, highwalls, shafts, drill holes) | | | |
| 8. Soils9. Revegetation10. Other | | | |

Purpose of Inspection:

The operator intends to reclaim the mine this fall, and we wanted to discuss how this should be done.

Inspection Summary:

1. Permits, Revisions, Transfer, Bonds, Permit Fees

The Division holds a reclamation surety of \$5400.00. This is not due to be escalated until 2010.

7. Backfilling/Grading (trenches, pits, roads, highwalls, shafts, drill holes)

This area has not been disturbed in several years, so vegetation has become established in most of the disturbed areas. Some of this vegetation will need to be destroyed in the process of regrading, but much of the area needs little work.

The disturbances consist of a road going up the hill, a few cuts which created what might be termed small highwalls; and some small pits at the top of the hill.

The operator may not have dug all the pits, but they need to be filled which should not be terribly difficult.

There is a berm on the road outslope that should be pulled back on to the road. On the inside of the road is a cut, and we decided the top of the cut should be smoothed so it blends better with the surrounding area. Totally regrading the road is not desirable or necessary and would destroy vegetation that has established over several years.

Inspection Date: July 29, 2008; Report Date: August 19, 2008

Page 2 of 2 S0150075

There are some cuts in a draw next to the road, and these need to be smoothed over. As with the road, the operator needs to avoid re-disturbance as much as possible.

Conclusions and Recommendations:

The reclamation plan says the operator will backfill the faces with loose material from a site near the access point to the quarry. I suggest that no borrow material be used because it is not necessary and would increase the disturbance size.

The seed mix in the plan is:

| Species | Pounds PLS/Acre |
|---------------------|-----------------|
| Galleta | 2.0 |
| Indian Ricegrass | 4.0 |
| Needle-and-Thread | 2.0 |
| Forage Kochia | 3.0 |
| Green Mormon Tea | 3.0 |
| Shadscale | 2.0 |
| Yellow Sweet Clover | 2.0 |
| Scarlet Globemallow | 2.0 |
| | |

Inspector's Signature

PBBpb

cc: Bruce Allen, U. S. Gypsum Chris Conrad, Price BLM



Photo 1. The berm on the left side of the road should be pulled up, and the bank on the right side should be rounded to make it blend better with the surrounding area.



Photo 2. This is a cut in the draw next to the road. The top should be smoothed over while disturbing as little of the vegetation as possible.